

P. GĂVRUȚA

M. HOSSU

D. POPESCU

C. CĂPRĂU

**On the stability of mappings and an answer to a
problem of TH.M. Rassias**

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 2, n° 2 (1995), p. 55-60.

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP_1995__2_2_55_0

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

ON THE STABILITY OF MAPPINGS AND AN ANSWER TO A PROBLEM OF TH.M. RASSIAS

P.Găvruta, M.Hossu, D.Popescu, C.Căprău

Résumé

Le but principal de cet article est la démonstration d'un théorème concernant la stabilité HYERS-ULAM des applications, qui donne une généralisation pour les résultats [1] et [3]. En plus, il répond à une problème posée par TH. M. RASSIAS en [3].

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to prove a theorem concerning the HYERS-ULAM stability of mappings, which gives a generalization of the results from [1] and [3]. It also answers a problem posed by TH.M.RASSIAS [3].

The question concerning the stability of mappings has been originally raised by S.M.ULAM [4]. The first answer was given in 1941 by D. H.HYERS (see [2] for a research survey of the development of the subject). In this paper we provide a generalization of a theorem of TH.M.RASSIAS [3] concerning the HYERS-ULAM stability of mappings and we also answer a problem that TH.M.RASSIAS posed in [3].

Theorem 1 *Let $(G, +)$ be an abelian group, k an integer, $k \geq 2$. $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ a BANACH space, $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a mapping such that*

$$\phi_k(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} \varphi(k^n x, k^n y) < \infty, \quad \forall x, y \in G \quad (1)$$

and $f : G \rightarrow X$ a mapping with the property

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in G. \quad (2)$$

Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \phi_k(x, mx), \quad \forall x \in G. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Setting $y = x$ and $y = 2x$ in relation (2) we obtain

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x), \quad \forall x \in G \quad (4)$$

and respectively,

$$\|f(3x) - f(x) - f(2x)\| \leq \varphi(x, 2x), \quad \forall x \in G.$$

Using the triangle inequality and the last two relations, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(3x) - 3f(x)\| &\leq \|f(3x) - f(x) - f(2x)\| + \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \\ &\leq \varphi(x, 2x) + \varphi(x, x) = \sum_{m=1}^2 \varphi(x, mx) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\|f(3x) - 3f(x)\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^2 \varphi(x, mx). \quad (5)$$

We will prove by mathematical induction after k the following inequality:

$$\|f(kx) - kf(x)\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \varphi(x, mx). \quad (6)$$

Indeed, for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$ we have the relation (4) and, respectively (5). Suppose (6) true for k and let us prove it for $k + 1$. We replace y by kx in (2) and we obtain:

$$\|f((k+1)x) - f(x) - f(kx)\| \leq \varphi(x, kx).$$

Hence, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|f((k+1)x) - (k+1)f(x)\| &\leq \|f((k+1)x) - f(x) - f(kx)\| + \|f(kx) - kf(x)\| \leq \\ &\leq \varphi(x, kx) + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \varphi(x, mx) = \sum_{m=1}^k \varphi(x, mx), \end{aligned}$$

using (6) for the last inequality.

So, relation (6) is true for any $k \geq 2$, integer.

Dividing (6) by k we obtain:

$$\left\| \frac{f(kx)}{k} - f(x) \right\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \varphi(x, mx). \quad (7)$$

We claim that

$$\left\| \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n} - f(x) \right\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^p x, m k^p x), \quad \forall x \in G. \quad (8)$$

We see that for $n = 1$ we have (7). We suppose (8) true for n and we will prove it for $n + 1$. We replace x by kx in (8) and we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(k^n \cdot kx)}{k^n} - f(kx) \right\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^p \cdot kx, mk^p \cdot kx), \quad \forall x \in G.$$

Dividing this relation by k , it follows:

$$\left\| \frac{f(k^{n+1}x)}{k^{n+1}} - \frac{f(kx)}{k} \right\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^{p+2}} \varphi(k^{p+1}x, mk^{p+1}x)$$

and further,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(k^{n+1}x)}{k^{n+1}} - f(x) \right\| &\leq \left\| \frac{f(k^{n+1}x)}{k^{n+1}} - \frac{f(kx)}{k} \right\| + \left\| \frac{f(kx)}{k} - f(x) \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^{p+2}} \varphi(k^{p+1}x, mk^{p+1}x) + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \varphi(x, mx) = \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \left[\sum_{p=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^p x, mk^p x) + \frac{1}{k} \varphi(x, mx) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^p x, mk^p x), \quad \forall x \in G, \end{aligned}$$

so (8) is true for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, by mathematical induction.

Then, for $0 < n_1 < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n} - \frac{f(k^{n_1} x)}{k^{n_1}} \right\| &= \frac{1}{k^{n_1}} \left\| \frac{f(k^{n-n_1}(k^{n_1} x))}{k^{n-n_1}} - f(k^{n_1} x) \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^{n_1}} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{n-n_1-1} \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^{p+n_1} x, mk^{p+n_1} x) = \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^p x, mk^p x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_1 \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is a fundamental sequence. Because

X is a BANACH space it follows that there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n}$, $\forall x \in G$, denoted

by $T(x)$, so $T : G \rightarrow X$ and we claim that T is an additive mapping.

From (2) we have

$$\|f(k^n x + k^n y) - f(k^n x) - f(k^n y)\| \leq \varphi(k^n x, k^n y), \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \frac{f(k^n(x+y))}{k^n} - \frac{f(k^n x)}{k^n} - \frac{f(k^n y)}{k^n} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{k^n} \varphi(k^n x, k^n y), \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain:

$$\|T(x+y) - T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \varphi(k^n x, k^n y) = 0$$

using the relation (1). This implies $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$, $\forall x, y \in G$.

To prove that (3) holds, we take the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (8) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - f(x)\| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^p x, m k^p x) = \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^p x, m k^p x) = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \phi_k(x, m x), \quad \forall x \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Supposing now that there exists another additive mapping $T_1 : G \rightarrow X$ with the property (3). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1(x) - T(x)\| &= \left\| \frac{T_1(k^n x)}{k^n} - \frac{T(k^n x)}{k^n} \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^n} (\|T_1(k^n x) - f(k^n x)\| + \|f(k^n x) - T(k^n x)\|) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k^n} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \phi_k(k^n x, m k^n x) = \frac{2}{k^n} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^{p+n} x, m k^{p+n} x) = \\ &= 2 \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{p+1}} \varphi(k^p x, m k^p x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_1(x) - T(x)\| = 0$, for any $x \in G$, which implies $T_1(x) = T(x)$, $\forall x \in G$.

Q.E.D.

REMARKS:

1. For $k = 2$ we obtain for the first relation:

$$\phi_2(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) < \infty, \quad \forall x, y \in G$$

and for the third relation

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \phi_2(x, x), \quad \forall x \in G$$

which is the main theorem from [1].

2.If we take $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ with $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_k(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} \theta(k^{np}(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)) = \\ &= \frac{\theta}{k} (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^{n(p-1)} = \\ &= \frac{\theta}{k} (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \cdot \frac{k}{k - k^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\phi_k(x, mx) = \frac{k\theta}{k - k^p} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \cdot \|x\|^p(1 + m^p)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \phi_k(x, mx) &= \frac{k\theta}{k - k^p} \|x\|^p \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} (1 + m^p) = \\ &= \frac{k\theta}{k - k^p} \|x\|^p \frac{1}{k} (k + \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} m^p) = \frac{k\theta}{k - k^p} \|x\|^p s(k, p), \end{aligned}$$

where $s(k, p) = 1 + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} m^p$ which implies the theorem of TH.M.RASSIAS proved in [3].

We prove that the best possible value of k is 2. Set

$$R(p) = \frac{2}{2 - 2^p} \text{ and } Q(k, p) = \frac{k \cdot s(k, p)}{k - k^p}, k > 2.$$

We prove that

$$R(p) < Q(k, p) \text{ for all } k \geq 3. \tag{9}$$

The verification of (9) follows by mathematical induction on k .

The case $k = 3$ is true, because

$$Q(3, p) - R(p) = \frac{2 \cdot 3^p - 2^p - 4^p}{(2 - 2^p)(3 - 3^p)} > 0,$$

where we use the JENSEN inequality for the concave function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^p, p \in [0, 1)$:

$$\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right)^p > \frac{x_1^p + x_2^p}{2} \text{ for } x_1, x_2 \in (0, \infty) \tag{10}$$

with $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 4$.

Assume now that (9) is true and we prove that

$$Q(k+1, p) > R(p). \quad (11)$$

We have from (9)

$$\begin{aligned} Q(k+1) - R(p) &> R(p) \frac{k - k^p}{k+1 - (k+1)^p} + \frac{k^p + 1}{k+1 - (k+1)^p} - \frac{2}{2-2^p} = \\ &= \frac{2(k+1)^p - 2^p - k^p \cdot 2^p}{(2-2^p)(k+1 - (k+1)^p)} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the inequality (10) with $x_1 = 2k, x_2 = 2$.

Thus, (9) is proved.

This last result gives an answer to a problem that was posed by TH.M. RASSIAS in 1991.

References

- [1] GĂVRUȚA, P., *A generalization of the HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS stability of approximately additive mappings*, Journal of Math. Analysis and Appl. 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [2] HYERS, D.H. AND RASSIAS, TH.M., *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math. 44 (1992), 125-153.
- [3] RASSIAS, TH.M., *On a modified HYERS-ULAM sequence*, Journal of Math. Analysis and Appl. 158 (1991), 106-113.
- [4] ULAM, S.M., *Problems in modern mathematics*, chap. VI, Science eds., Wiley, New York, 1960.

Department of Mathematics
Technical University of Timișoara
P-ța Horașiu, nr.1
1900 TIMIȘOARA, ROMANIA