

# Homework # 11 Solutions

Math 111, Fall 2014  
Instructor: Dr. Doreen De Leon

1. Define a relation  $R$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $x R y$  if  $x \cdot y \geq 0$ . Prove or disprove the following:

- (a)  $R$  is reflexive;
- (b)  $R$  is symmetric;
- (c)  $R$  is transitive.

**Solution:**

- (a) Relation  $R$  is reflexive, because if  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $n \cdot n = n^2 \geq 0$ , so  $n R n$ .
- (b) Relation  $R$  is symmetric, because if  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m R n$ , then  $n \cdot m = m \cdot n \geq 0$ , and so  $n R m$ .
- (c) Relation  $R$  is not transitive, because  $-1 R 0$  and  $0 R 1$ , but  $-1 \not R 1$ .

2. Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Give an example of a relation on  $A$  that is:

- (a) reflexive and symmetric, but not transitive;
- (b) symmetric and transitive, but not reflexive;
- (c) symmetric, but neither transitive nor reflexive.

You must prove that your relation satisfies the stated conditions.

**Solution:**

- (a) The relation  $R_1 = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (2, 1), (1, 2), (3, 2), (2, 3)\}$  is reflexive and symmetric, but not transitive.
  - Relation  $R_1$  is reflexive, because we can see that  $a R_1 a$  for  $a = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , or for all  $a \in A$ .
  - Relation  $R_1$  is symmetric, because if  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a R_1 b$ , then  $a = 1, 2, 3$ , or  $4$  and  $b = 1, 3, 4$ , or  $4$  and for each of these,  $b R_1 a$ .
  - Relation  $R_1$  is not transitive, because  $1 R_1 2$  and  $2 R_1 3$ , but  $1 \not R_1 3$ .
- (b) The relation  $R_2 = \{(1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (1, 1)\}$  is symmetric and transitive, but not reflexive.
  - Relation  $R_2$  is not reflexive because  $3 \not R_2 3$ .

- Relation  $R_2$  is symmetric because the only  $a, b \in A$  with  $a \neq b$  for which  $a R_2 b$  is  $a = 1$  or  $2$  and  $b = 1$  or  $2$ . Since  $1 R_2 2$  and  $2 R_2 1$ ,  $R_2$  is symmetric.
  - Relation  $R_2$  is transitive. We have that  $1 R_2 2$  and  $2 R_2 1$  implies  $1 R_2 1$ ;  $1 R_2 2$  and  $2 R_2 2$  implies  $1 R_2 2$ ;  $2 R_2 1$  and  $1 R_2 2$  implies  $2 R_2 2$ ;  $2 R_2 1$  and  $1 R_2 1$  implies  $2 R_2 1$ ;  $1 R_2 1$  and  $1 R_2 2$  implies  $1 R_2 2$ ; and  $2 R_2 2$  and  $2 R_2 1$  implies  $2 R_2 1$ . So, for all  $a, b, c \in A$  such that that  $a R_2 b$  and  $b R_2 c$ , we have  $a R_2 c$ . Therefore  $R_2$  is transitive.
- (c) The relation  $R_3 = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$  is symmetric, but neither reflexive nor transitive.
- Relation  $R_3$  is not reflexive because  $1 \not R_3 1$ .
  - Relation  $R_3$  is symmetric because the only  $a, b \in A$  for which  $a R_3 b$  are  $a = 1$  or  $2$  or  $b = 1$  or  $2$ . Since  $1 R_3 2$  and  $2 R_3 1$ , we have that for every  $a, b \in A$  for which  $a R_3 b$ ,  $b R_3 a$ . Therefore  $R_3$  is symmetric.
  - Relation  $R_3$  is not transitive because  $1 R_3 2$  and  $2 R_3 1$ , but  $1 \not R_3 1$ .
3. Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on  $A = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$  such that  $a R c, c R d, d R g$ , and  $b R f$ . If there are three distinct equivalence classes that result from  $R$ , then determine these equivalence classes and determine all elements of  $R$ .

**Solution:** Since  $R$  is reflexive, we have that  $a R a, b R b, c R c, d R d, e R e, f R f$ . Also, since  $R$  is symmetric, we know that  $c R a, d R c, g R d$ , and  $f R b$ . Finally, since  $R$  is transitive, we have that  $a R d, a R g$ , and  $c R g$ , and by symmetry,  $d R a, g R a$ , and  $g R c$ . The three equivalence classes are

$$\begin{aligned}
 [a] &= \{x \in A : x R a\} = \{a, c, d, g\} = [c] = [d] = [g]; \\
 [b] &= \{x \in A : x R b\} = \{b, f\} = [f]; \text{ and} \\
 [e] &= \{x \in A : x R e\} = \{e\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We Relation  $R$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= \{(a, a), (a, c), (a, d), (a, g), (c, a), (c, c), (c, d), (c, g), (d, a), (d, c), (d, d), (d, g), \\
 &\quad (g, a), (g, c), (g, d), (g, g), (b, b), (b, f), (f, b), (f, f), (e, e)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Define a relation  $R$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as  $x R y$  if and only if  $x^2 + y^2$  is even. Prove  $R$  is an equivalence relation and determine its distinct equivalence classes.

**Solution:** To show that  $R$  is an equivalence relation, we must show that  $R$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. If  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $x^2 + x^2 = 2x^2$  and since  $x^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x^2 + x^2$  is even, and so  $x R x$  and  $R$  is reflexive. Next, suppose that  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x R y$ . Then  $x^2 + y^2 = y^2 + x^2$  is even and so  $y R x$ , and  $R$  is symmetric. Finally, suppose that  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x R y$  and  $y R z$ . Then  $x^2 + y^2$  is even and  $y^2 + z^2$  is even. So,  $x^2 + y^2 = 2a$  and  $y^2 + z^2 = 2b$  for some integers  $a$  and  $b$ . Then we have that  $x^2 = 2a - y^2$

and  $z^2 = 2b - y^2$ , so  $x^2 + z^2 = 2a - y^2 + 2b - y^2 = 2a + 2b - 2y^2 = 2(a + b - y^2)$ . Since  $a + b - y^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x^2 + z^2$  is even and so  $x R z$ . Therefore,  $R$  is transitive.

The distinct equivalence classes of  $R$  are

$$\begin{aligned} [0] &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \text{ is even}\} \\ [1] &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \text{ is odd}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that these are the only distinct equivalence classes of  $R$  because we have proven that for the sum of two numbers to be even, they both must have the same parity, and for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x^2$  is even/odd if and only if  $x$  is even/odd. So,  $[0] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x R 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^2 \text{ is even}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \text{ is even}\}$ . Similarly,  $[2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x R 2\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^2 + 2^2 \text{ is even}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x^2 \text{ is even}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \text{ is even}\} = [0]$ . A similar argument will hold for  $[1] = [3] = \dots$ .

5. Prove or disprove. If  $R$  and  $S$  are two equivalence relations on a set  $A$ , then  $R \cap S$  is also an equivalence relation on  $A$ .

**Solution:** This statement is true.

*Proof.* Let  $R$  and  $S$  be equivalence relations. Then  $R$  and  $S$  are both reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. We must show that  $R \cap S$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. First, let  $a \in A$ . Then  $a R a$  since  $R$  is reflexive and  $a S a$  since  $S$  is reflexive. Then, by definition  $(a, a) \in R$  and  $(a, a) \in S$ . Therefore,  $(a, a) \in R \cap S$ , and  $a (R \cap S) a$ , so  $R \cap S$  is reflexive. Next, suppose that  $a (R \cap S) b$  for some  $a, b \in A$ . By definition, we have that  $(a, b) \in R \cap S$ . Therefore,  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(a, b) \in S$ . Since  $R$  and  $S$  are symmetric, we have that  $(b, a) \in R$  and  $(b, a) \in S$ . Therefore,  $(b, a) \in R \cap S$ , or  $b (R \cap S) a$ , and  $R \cap S$  is symmetric. Finally, let  $a (R \cap S) b$  and  $b (R \cap S) c$ . Then,  $(a, b) \in R \cap S$  and  $(b, c) \in R \cap S$ , and so  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(a, b) \in S$ , and  $(b, c) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in S$ . Since  $R$  and  $S$  are transitive, we have  $(a, c) \in R$  and  $(a, c) \in S$ , so  $(a, c) \in R \cap S$ , or  $a (R \cap S) c$ , and  $R \cap S$  is transitive. Since  $R \cap S$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive,  $R \cap S$  is an equivalence relation.  $\square$

6. Describe the partition of  $\mathbb{Z}$  resulting from the equivalence relation  $\equiv (\text{mod } 3)$ .

**Solution:** First,

$$[0] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid x\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x = 3k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In other words,  $[0]$  is the set of all positive and negative multiples of 3, so  $[0] = [3] = [-3] = [6] = \dots$ . Similarly,

$$[1] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (x - 1)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x - 1 = 3k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

So,  $[1]$  is the set of all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x - 1$  is a multiple of 3, and we have  $[1] = [-1] = [4] = [-4] = \dots$ . Finally,

$$[2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 3 \mid (x - 2)\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x - 2 = 3k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

So,  $[2]$  is the set of all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x - 2$  is a multiple of 3, and we have  $[2] = [-2] = [5] = [-5] = \dots$ . Therefore, the partition of  $\mathbb{Z}$  resulting from  $\equiv \pmod{3}$  is

$$\{[0], [1], [2]\} = \{\{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, \dots\}, \{\dots, -7, -4, -1, 1, 4, 7, \dots\}, \{\dots, -8, -5, -2, 2, 5, 8, \dots\}\}.$$

7. Write the addition and multiplication tables for  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ .

**Solution:**

Addition and multiplication tables for  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ .

+	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	·	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]
[0]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]
[2]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[0]	[2]	[4]	[6]	[0]	[2]	[4]	[6]
[3]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[0]	[3]	[6]	[1]	[4]	[7]	[2]	[5]
[4]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[0]	[4]	[0]	[4]	[0]	[4]	[0]	[4]
[5]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[0]	[5]	[2]	[7]	[4]	[1]	[6]	[3]
[6]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[0]	[6]	[4]	[2]	[0]	[6]	[4]	[2]
[7]	[7]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[0]	[7]	[6]	[5]	[4]	[3]	[2]	[1]

8. Prove or disprove. If  $[a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_6$  and  $[a][b] = [0]$ , then either  $[a] = [0]$  or  $[b] = [0]$ .

**Solution:** This statement is false. Let  $[a] = [2]$  and  $[b] = [3]$ . Then  $[2][3] = [0]$ , since  $[2][3] = [2 \cdot 3] = [6] = [0]$  but  $[2] \neq [0]$  and  $[3] \neq [0]$ .