Section 5.1 - Areas and Distances - lecture 1, p. 313 Stewart, 4th Ed.

## The distance problem.

**Question.** If we know the velocity of an object for a certain length of time, can we figure out how far it goes in that time?

Sure, you say: rate  $\times$  time = distance. But wait! This only works if the velocity (rate) is constant. What to do if it isn't?

We can **estimate** the distance with "rectangles."

**Example 4, p. 374.** Suppose the odometer on our car is broken and we want to estimate the distance driven over a 30-second time interval. We take speedometer readings every five seconds and record them in the following table, along with conversions to ft/sec to unify things:

Time (sec)	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
Velocity (mi/hr)	17	21	24	29	32	31	28
Velocity (ft/sec)	25	31	35	43	47	46	41

The trick to the estimation is to treat the velocity as constant over each 5-second interval.

Left endpoints: between t = 0 and t = 5, assume v = 25. Then distance traveled in the first 5 seconds is approximately \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. Computing similarly the rest of the distances, we get



Right endpoints: between t = 0 and t = 5, assume v = 31. Then distance traveled in the first 5 seconds is approximately \_\_\_\_\_\_ ft. Computing similarly the rest of the distances, we get



The actual distance is probably somewhere between \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ ft. (unless we drove erratically!).

Pictures of these estimates:

In general, we can estimate the area under a curve using rectangles. And from the velocity/distance problem, we just might suspect that it has something to do with **antiderivatives**!

**Example.** Find the area under  $f(x) = x^2$  from x = 1 to x = 4.

Idea: estimate using rectangles. Divide the area under  $x^2$  from 1 to 4 into 3 "rectangles."





Midpoints (closer): area = \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Actual area: 21 (we will learn to compute this later).

**Fact.** Our knowledge of antiderivatives will enable us to calculate the *exact* area using a *limiting process*.