## Math 151 - Important Ideas and Examples about Polynomials, Commutative Rings, and Fields

- 1. Important definitions and results pertaining to polynomials:
  - (a) A polynomial  $p(x) \in F[x]$  is *irreducible over* F if it cannot be factored into polynomials in F[x] of strictly lower degree. Note that
    - i. Every non-zero polynomial over a field F can be factored as a constant polynomial times a polynomial of the same degree. For instance,  $x^2 + 1 = 2 \cdot (\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2})$ . In order to be considered reducible, it must have an "interesting" factorization in the sense described above.
    - ii. It does not make sense to speak of a polynomial's irreducibility without specifying the field over which potential factorizations of the polynomial are being considered. For instance,  $x^2 + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ , but not over  $\mathbb{C}$ .
  - (b) The greatest common divisor of f(x),  $g(x) \in F[x]$  is the unique **monic** polynomial  $d(x) \in F[x]$  of largest degree which divides both f(x) and g(x). Again, discussion of gcd must be given in terms of a ground field F.
  - (c) Rational Root Theorem. The polynomial must have **integer** coefficients. The theorem can find all possible rational roots.
  - (d) Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion. The polynomial must have **integer** coefficients. The criterion can decide if such a polynomial is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
  - (e) Being reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is **not the same** as having roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , unless the polynomial is of degree 3 or less! For example,  $x^4 + 2x^2 + 1 = (x^2 + 1)(x^2 + 1)$  is certainly reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but it has no rational roots. The following statements hold for  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ :
    - f(x) has a root  $c \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow f(x)$  has a factor x c and is hence reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$
    - f(x) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow f(x)$  has no rational roots

*However*, the converse statements are **false**:

- f(x) is reducible over  $\mathbb{Q} \neq f(x)$  has a rational root
- f(x) has no roots in  $\mathbb{Q} \not\Rightarrow f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$
- 2. A *field* is a set F equipped with two commutative binary operations, addition and multiplication, such that
  - (F, +) is an abelian group under addition
  - Every non-zero element of F has a multiplicative inverse (in the notation of #4, below,  $F^* = F \setminus \{0_F\}$ ), and  $(F^*, \cdot)$  is an abelian group under multiplication
  - $0_F \neq 1_F$
  - The distributive law holds: (a+b)c = ac + bc for all  $a, b, c \in F$ .

**Examples:**  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for p prime.

3. A *commutative ring* is just like a field, except that not every non-zero element need have a multiplicative inverse.

**Examples:**  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_n, F[x]$  for F a field. Any field is a commutative ring.

4. An element of a ring R with a multiplicative inverse in R is called a *unit*. The set of units of R, denoted  $R^*$  or  $R^{\times}$ , is a multiplicative group under the multiplication of R.

**Examples:**  $\mathbb{Z}^* = \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{[a]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid (a, n) = 1\}, F[x]^* = F^* = F \setminus \{0_F\}$  for F a field.

5. A zero-divisor of a ring R is a (non-zero) element  $r \in R$  such that rs = 0 for some non-zero  $s \in R$ . In other words, it is something you can multiply with a non-zero element and still get 0. A commutative ring without zero-divisors is called an *integral domain*.

**Examples of rings** with zero-divisors:  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  for n not prime, e.g. in  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ ,  $[6] \cdot [8] = [0]$ . A non-commutative example:  $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , e.g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Handy fact: Any element that is a *unit* of a ring will never be a zero-divisor. For instance, notice that all the matrices in the above example are not invertible. <u>Exercise</u>: Prove this handy fact.

**Examples of integral domains:** any field (see #2, above),  $\mathbb{Z}$ , F[x] where F is any field

- 6. A ring homomorphism is a function  $\varphi \colon R \to S$ , where R and S are rings, such that for all a,  $b \in R$ ,
  - $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$
  - $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$

Any ring homomorphism sends  $0_R$  to  $0_S$ . *However*,  $1_R$  is **not** always sent to  $1_S$ ! For example, recall the ring homomorphism  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z}_8 \to \mathbb{Z}_{12}$  defined by  $\varphi([x]_8) = [9x]_{12}$  discussed in class.

A ring isomorphism is a ring homomorphism as above which is also one-to-one and onto. If  $\varphi: R \to S$  is a ring isomorphism, then we say R is isomorphic to S and we write  $R \cong S$ . In that case R and S are essentially the same ring in every way (they have the same addition and multiplication tables; if one is an integral domain, then so is the other, etc.). This is because any ring isomorphism sends units to units, zero-divisors to zero-divisors, and so on. Every property that an element in R has is sent to a corresponding element of S with that same property. In particular,  $1_R$  is sent to  $1_S$ .

7. An *ideal* I of a commutative ring R is a subset which is closed under + and - and under multiplication by things in R. We write  $I \triangleleft R$ .

## **Important Ideas and Examples:**

(a) If  $I \triangleleft R$  then  $R/I := \{a + I \mid a \in R\}$  is a ring with operations

$$(a+I) + (b+I) = (a+b) + I$$
  
 $(a+I) \cdot (b+I) = (ab) + I.$ 

- (b) If  $\varphi \colon R \to S$  is a ring homomorphism, then ker  $\varphi \triangleleft R$  (note that ker  $\varphi$  is the set of things that get sent to  $0_S$  under  $\varphi$ ).
- (c) If  $I \triangleleft R$  and  $1_R \in I$ , then I = R. <u>Proof.</u>  $r \in R, 1_R \in I$  implies  $r \cdot 1_R = r \in I$  by definition of ideal.
- (d) Corollary. A field has no interesting ideals. <u>Proof.</u> If  $I \triangleleft F$  is non-zero, then let  $a \neq 0$  in I. a is a unit since F is a field; hence  $a^{-1} \in F$ . Thus by definition of ideal,  $a^{-1}a = 1 \in I$ . By the above result, I = F.
- 8. First Isomorphism Theorem for Rings. Also known as the Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem for rings. If  $\varphi: R \to S$  is a ring homomorphism, then

$$R/\ker\varphi \cong \mathrm{im}\varphi.$$

**Example:**  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ , since  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$  defined by  $\varphi(x) = [x]_n$  is an *onto* ring homomorphism (check yourself) whose kernel is  $n\mathbb{Z}$  (check).