Math 145 Fall 2003

Homework 3 - Solutions

Logic and types of proofs

1. Show that $p \to q$ and $\neg q \to \neg p$ are logically equivalent.

Construct the truth table:

ĺ	p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
Ī	T	T	T	F	F	T
	T	F	F	T	F	F
ĺ	F	T	T	F	T	T
Ī	F	F	T	T	T	T

The columns for $p \to q$ and $\neg q \to \neg p$ are the same, thus the propositions are logically equivalent.

- 2. Let Q(x, y) be the statement "x + y = x y", and the domain for both variables is the set of integers. Find the truth values of the following statements. Explain.
 - (a) Q(2,0)True because 2 + 0 = 2 - 0 is true.
 - (b) $\forall y Q(1, y)$ False because "for every y, 1 + y = 1 - y is false: for example, if y = 1, then $2 \neq 0$.
 - (c) $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$ True because for any x, take y = 0, then x + 0 = x - 0 is true.
 - (d) $\forall y \exists x Q(x, y)$ False because for example if y = 1, there is no x such that x + 1 = x - 1.
 - (e) $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$ True because if y = 0, then for any x we have x + 0 = x - 0.

Note: statements (c) and (e) are not equivalent a priori! (c) says that for any x we can find a y such that Q(x, y) is true. It is possible that we will find different values of y for different values of x. While (e) says that there is a value of y that works for any x.

3. Prove that if a positive integer is divisible by 8 then it is the difference of two perfect squares. Is your proof direct, by contradiction, or by contrapositive? Is it constructive or nonconstructive?

An integer divisible by 8 has the form 8n.

$$8n = (4n^2 + 4n + 1) - (4n^2 - 4n + 1) = (2n + 1)^2 - (2n - 1)^2.$$

This prove is direct and constructive: we gave an explicit example of two perfect squares whose difference is equal to 8n.

- 4. Prove that the equation $x^{101} + x^{51} + x + 1 = 0$ has exactly one real solution. Split this into two statements:
 - (a) the equation has at least one solution. Is your proof constructive or nonconstructive?

Let $f(x) = x^{101} + x^{51} + x + 1$. Then f(-1) = -2 < 0 and f(1) = 4 > 0. By the intermediate value theorem, f(x) has a root.

This proof is nonconstructive because we did not construct a root, only proved its existence.

(b) the equation can not have two distinct roots. Is your proof direct, by contradiction, or by contrapositive?

Suppose f(x) has two distinct roots. Then by the mean value theorem, there is a number c between these roots such that f'(c) = 0. But $f'(x) = 101x^{100} + 51x^{50} + 1 > 0$ everywhere. We get a contradiction.

This is a proof by contradiction.

5. Every odd number is either of the form 4n + 1 (if it has remainder 1 when divided by 4) or of the form 4n + 3 (if it has remainder 3). Prove that if an odd number is a perfect square, then it has the form 4n + 1. Is your proof direct, by contradiction, or by contrapositive? State the converse. Prove or disprove the converse.

If an odd number N is a perfect square, then $N=m^2$ where m is odd. Then m can be written in the form m=2k+1. Then $N=m^2=(2k+1)^2=4k^2+4k+1=4(k^2+k)+1$, so N is of the form 4n+1.

This proof is direct.

The converse is "if an odd number has the form 4n+1, then it is a perfect square". This is false because for example $5 = 4 \cdot 1 + 1$ but 5 is not a perfect square.

5 is a counterexample.