

Symplectic Translation Planes

Rocky Mountain Algebraic Combinatorics Seminar, CSU.

February 23, 2007.

Intro

* Let V be a $2n$ -dimensional vector space over a field K .

Intro

* Let V be a $2n$ -dimensional vector space over a field K .

* A symplectic form \mathcal{B} in V is an alternating bilinear form

$$\mathcal{B} : V \times V \rightarrow K$$

Intro

* Let V be a $2n$ -dimensional vector space over a field K .

* A symplectic form \mathcal{B} in V is an alternating bilinear form

$$\mathcal{B} : V \times V \rightarrow K$$

* It is customary to say that (V, \mathcal{B}) is a symplectic space.

Intro

* Let V be a $2n$ -dimensional vector space over a field K .

* A symplectic form \mathcal{B} in V is an alternating bilinear form

$$\mathcal{B} : V \times V \rightarrow K$$

* It is customary to say that (V, \mathcal{B}) is a symplectic space.

* A subspace S of V such that $\mathcal{B}(v, w) = 0$ for all $v, w \in S$ is said to be a totally isotropic subspace of V (relative to \mathcal{B}).

Intro

* Let V be a $2n$ -dimensional vector space over a field K .

* A symplectic form \mathcal{B} in V is an alternating bilinear form

$$\mathcal{B} : V \times V \rightarrow K$$

* It is customary to say that (V, \mathcal{B}) is a symplectic space.

* A subspace S of V such that $\mathcal{B}(v, w) = 0$ for all $v, w \in S$ is said to be a totally isotropic subspace of V (relative to \mathcal{B}).

* The maximal totally isotropic subspaces of V have dimension n (Witt index = n).

n -dimensional subspaces of V

* We will represent vectors of V as (x, y) , where both x and y are n -tuples.

n -dimensional subspaces of V

- * We will represent vectors of V as (x, y) , where both x and y are n -tuples.
- * Note that $(x = 0) = \{(x, y) \in V; x = 0\}$ is an n -dimensional subspace of V .

n -dimensional subspaces of V

* We will represent vectors of V as (x, y) , where both x and y are n -tuples.

* Note that $(x = 0) = \{(x, y) \in V; x = 0\}$ is an n -dimensional subspace of V .

* Every n -dimensional subspace S of V that intersects $(x = 0)$ trivially can be represented as

$$(y = xM) = \{(x, y); y = xM\}$$

for some $M \in M_n(K)$.

Maximal totally isotropic subspaces of V

* It is known that a non-degenerate symplectic form \mathcal{B} may be represented in some basis as

$$\mathcal{B}(v, w) = v \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} w^t$$

Maximal totally isotropic subspaces of V

* It is known that a non-degenerate symplectic form \mathcal{B} may be represented in some basis as

$$\mathcal{B}(v, w) = v \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} w^t$$

* An n -dimensional subspace ($y = xM$) is totally isotropic if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (x, xM) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} (a, aM)^t \\ &= x[M^t - M]a^t \end{aligned}$$

for all x, a ,

Maximal totally isotropic subspaces of V

* It is known that a non-degenerate symplectic form \mathcal{B} may be represented in some basis as

$$\mathcal{B}(v, w) = v \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} w^t$$

* An n -dimensional subspace ($y = xM$) is totally isotropic if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (x, xM) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix} (a, aM)^t \\ &= x[M^t - M]a^t \end{aligned}$$

for all x, a , which forces $M = M^t$.

Spreads

* A spread S of V is a collection of n -dimensional subspaces of V that pairwise intersect trivially and cover V .

Spreads

- * A spread S of V is a collection of n -dimensional subspaces of V that pairwise intersect trivially and cover V .
- * The elements of S are called components of S .

Spreads

- * A spread S of V is a collection of n -dimensional subspaces of V that pairwise intersect trivially and cover V .
- * The elements of S are called components of S .
- * Every spread S of V containing $(x = 0)$ may be considered as a subset of $M_n(K)$. For this reason I will assume that $(x = 0)$ is always a component of every spread.

Spreads

- * A spread S of V is a collection of n -dimensional subspaces of V that pairwise intersect trivially and cover V .
- * The elements of S are called components of S .
- * Every spread S of V containing $(x = 0)$ may be considered as a subset of $M_n(K)$. For this reason I will assume that $(x = 0)$ is always a component of every spread.
- * If $K = GF(q)$, then the subset of $M_n(q)$ that represents S has size q^n .

Spreads

- * A spread S of V is a collection of n -dimensional subspaces of V that pairwise intersect trivially and cover V .
- * The elements of S are called components of S .
- * Every spread S of V containing $(x = 0)$ may be considered as a subset of $M_n(K)$. For this reason I will assume that $(x = 0)$ is always a component of every spread.
- * If $K = GF(q)$, then the subset of $M_n(q)$ that represents S has size q^n .
- * If S is a spread having all components totally isotropic, then $M = M^t$ for all matrices of S (in some basis).

Symplectic translation planes

* If S is a spread of V , then the geometry formed when calling points to the vectors of V , and lines to the components of S together with all their additive cosets is an affine plane.

Symplectic translation planes

- * If S is a spread of V , then the geometry formed when calling points to the vectors of V , and lines to the components of S together with all their additive cosets is an affine plane.
- * Any affine plane constructed from a spread, as above, will be called a translation plane.

Symplectic translation planes

- * If S is a spread of V , then the geometry formed when calling points to the vectors of V , and lines to the components of S together with all their additive cosets is an affine plane.
- * Any affine plane constructed from a spread, as above, will be called a translation plane.
- * A spread having only totally isotropic components will be said to be a symplectic spread. The associated translation plane is called a symplectic plane.

Kerdock sets

* The spreadset of symplectic plane Π contains only symmetric matrices (in some basis).

Kerdock sets

- * The spreadset of symplectic plane Π contains only symmetric matrices (in some basis).
- * Moreover, it is possible to choose a basis of V such that besides the spread being symmetric, the zero matrix is also in the spread.

Kerdock sets

- * The spreadset of symplectic plane Π contains only symmetric matrices (in some basis).
- * Moreover, it is possible to choose a basis of V such that besides the spread being symmetric, the zero matrix is also in the spread.
- * Thus, for q even, the spreadset of Π is a Kerdock set. These sets are related to Kerdock codes.

A couple of results by Kantor

* Probably the most important results on the structure of collineation groups of symplectic planes are:

A couple of results by Kantor

* Probably the most important results on the structure of collineation groups of symplectic planes are:

* **Theorem:** Let Σ be a symplectic spread of the F vector space V . Let K be the kernel of Σ . Assume that $g \in \Gamma L(V)$ is a collineation of Σ and that either $|F|$ is even or $[K : F]$ is odd, then $g = sh$, where $h \in K$ and $s \in \Gamma Sp(V)$.

A couple of results by Kantor

* Probably the most important results on the structure of collineation groups of symplectic planes are:

* **Theorem:** Let Σ be a symplectic spread of the F vector space V . Let K be the kernel of Σ . Assume that $g \in \Gamma L(V)$ is a collineation of Σ and that either $|F|$ is even or $[K : F]$ is odd, then $g = sh$, where $h \in K$ and $s \in \Gamma Sp(V)$.

* **Theorem:** A symplectic plane may be considered as having a symplectic spread over its kernel. That is, the symplectic dimension of a plane is equal to the dimension of a plane over its kernel.

More on symplectic spreads

* The set

$$S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t & u \\ u & g(t, u) \end{bmatrix} ; t, u \in GF(q) \right\}$$

is a symplectic spread of $PG(3, q)$ iff

More on symplectic spreads

* The set

$$S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t & u \\ u & g(t, u) \end{bmatrix} ; t, u \in GF(q) \right\}$$

is a symplectic spread of $PG(3, q)$ iff, for every $a, b \in GF(q)$, the function

$$x \mapsto g(x, ax - b) + a^2x$$

More on symplectic spreads

* The set

$$S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t & u \\ u & g(t, u) \end{bmatrix} ; t, u \in GF(q) \right\}$$

is a symplectic spread of $PG(3, q)$ iff, for every $a, b \in GF(q)$, the function

$$x \mapsto g(x, ax - b) + a^2x$$

is a permutation of $GF(q)$.

Known symplectic spreads of $PG(3, q)$

<i>Name</i>	$g(x, y)$	q	<i>Restrictions</i>
Regular	$-nx$	odd	n non-square
Kantor	$-nx^\alpha$	odd	n non-square, αq
Thas-Payne	$-nx - (n^{-1}x)^{1/9} - y^{1/3}$	3^h	n non-square, $h > 2$
Penttila-Williams	$-x^9 - y^{81}$	3^5	
Ree-Tits slice	$-x^{2\alpha+3} - y^\alpha$	3^{2h+1}	$\alpha = \sqrt{3q}$
Regular	$cx + y$	even	$Tr_{q \rightarrow 2}(c) = 1$
Lüneburg	$x^{\alpha+1} + y^\alpha$	2^{2h+1}	$\alpha = \sqrt{2q}$

Symplectic planes of even order and ovoids of $PG(3, q)$

* The geometry formed by all points of $PG(3, q)$, and all totally isotropic lines of $PG(3, q)$ is the (q, q) -GQ $\mathcal{W}(q)$. When q is even \mathcal{W}_q is self-dual.

Symplectic planes of even order and ovoids of $PG(3, q)$

- * The geometry formed by all points of $PG(3, q)$, and all totally isotropic lines of $PG(3, q)$ is the (q, q) -GQ $\mathcal{W}(q)$. When q is even \mathcal{W}_q is self-dual.
- * The dual of a symplectic spread is a set of $q^2 + 1$ points in $\mathcal{W}(q)$ so that no three of them are collinear. That is, they form an ovoid of $\mathcal{W}(q)$... which ends up being an ovoid of $PG(3, q)$.

Symplectic planes of even order and ovoids of $PG(3, q)$

- * The geometry formed by all points of $PG(3, q)$, and all totally isotropic lines of $PG(3, q)$ is the (q, q) -GQ $\mathcal{W}(q)$. When q is even \mathcal{W}_q is self-dual.
- * The dual of a symplectic spread is a set of $q^2 + 1$ points in $\mathcal{W}(q)$ so that no three of them are collinear. That is, they form an ovoid of $\mathcal{W}(q)$... which ends up being an ovoid of $PG(3, q)$.
- * We can say that, for q even, the study of ovoids of $PG(3, q)$ is equivalent to the study of symplectic planes of order q^2 and kernel containing $GF(q)$.

Symplectic planes and corresponding ovoids

* For q even,

Symplectic planes and corresponding ovoids

* For q even,

<i>Plane</i>	$g(x, y)$	q	<i>Restrictions</i>	<i>Ovoids</i>
Desarguesian	$cx + y$	even	$Tr_{q \rightarrow 2}(c) = 1$	Elliptic quadric
Lüneburg	$x^{\alpha+1} + y^\alpha$	2^{2h+1}	$\alpha = \sqrt{2q}$	Tits

Symplectic planes and corresponding ovoids

* For q even,

<i>Plane</i>	$g(x, y)$	q	<i>Restrictions</i>	<i>Ovoids</i>
Desarguesian	$cx + y$	even	$Tr_{q \rightarrow 2}(c) = 1$	Elliptic quadric
Lüneburg	$x^{\alpha+1} + y^\alpha$	2^{2h+1}	$\alpha = \sqrt{2q}$	Tits

* For q odd all ovoids of $PG(3, q)$ are elliptic quadrics. On the other hand, we have seen that there are several symplectic planes that are non-isomorphic.

Interesting (solved) problem

The following theorem was proved by M. Brown.

Interesting (solved) problem

The following theorem was proved by M. Brown.

* **Theorem:** An ovoid of $PG(3, q)$, q even, that contains a conic section must be an elliptic quadric.

Interesting (solved) problem

The following theorem was proved by M. Brown.

* **Theorem:** An ovoid of $PG(3, q)$, q even, that contains a conic section must be an elliptic quadric.

Or, equivalently,

* **Theorem:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a regulus is necessarily Desarguesian.

Interesting problem (I am studying)

* **Theorem:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a regulus is necessarily Desarguesian.

Interesting problem (I am studying)

- * **Theorem:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a regulus is necessarily Desarguesian.
- * Why to prove this again?

Interesting problem (I am studying)

* **Theorem:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a regulus is necessarily Desarguesian.

* Why to prove this again?

Besides the fact that the translation planes Gods may be pleased by this, it would be interesting to see if one can generalize this result to q odd... this has happened before.

Interesting problem (I am studying)

* **Theorem:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a regulus is necessarily Desarguesian.

* Why to prove this again?

Besides the fact that the translation planes Gods may be pleased by this, it would be interesting to see if one can generalize this result to q odd... this has happened before.

* **Theorem:** An ovoid of $PG(3, q)$, q even, having a bundle of conics through a point must be an elliptic quadric.

Interesting problem (I am studying)

* **Theorem:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a regulus is necessarily Desarguesian.

* Why to prove this again?

Besides the fact that the translation planes Gods may be pleased by this, it would be interesting to see if one can generalize this result to q odd... this has happened before.

* **Theorem:** An ovoid of $PG(3, q)$, q even, having a bundle of conics through a point must be an elliptic quadric.

* **Theorem:** A symplectic conical flock plane of order q^2 with kernel containing $GF(q)$ must be Desarguesian or Kantor.

Another interesting problem I am studying

* **Claim:** An ovoid of $PG(3, q)$, q even, that contains a translation oval must be a Tits ovoid.

Another interesting problem I am studying

* **Claim:** An ovoid of $PG(3, q)$, q even, that contains a translation oval must be a Tits ovoid.

Or, equivalently,

* **Claim:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a ***** is necessarily a Lüneburg plane.

Another interesting problem I am studying

* **Claim:** An ovoid of $PG(3, q)$, q even, that contains a translation oval must be a Tits ovoid.

Or, equivalently,

* **Claim:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a ***** is necessarily a Lüneburg plane.

* **I believe** ***** is a derivable net of size $q + 1$.

Another interesting problem I am studying

* **Claim:** An ovoid of $PG(3, q)$, q even, that contains a translation oval must be a Tits ovoid.

Or, equivalently,

* **Claim:** A symplectic plane of even order containing a ***** is necessarily a Lüneburg plane.

* **I believe** ***** is a derivable net of size $q + 1$.

* **I believe** this result can be generalized to q odd by allowing the plane to be a Kantor plane.

Kantor planes ?

* A Kantor plane contains reguli, several of them.

Kantor planes ?

- * A Kantor plane contains reguli, several of them.
- * **Theorem:** A semifield symplectic plane must be Desarguesian or Kantor.

Kantor planes ?

- * A Kantor plane contains reguli, several of them.
- * **Theorem:** A semifield symplectic plane must be Desarguesian or Kantor.
- * **Corollary:** If a symplectic plane is a semifield, for q even, then it must be Desarguesian.

Kantor planes ?

- * A Kantor plane contains reguli, several of them.
- * **Theorem:** A semifield symplectic plane must be Desarguesian or Kantor.
- * **Corollary:** If a symplectic plane is a semifield, for q even, then it must be Desarguesian.
- * **Theorem:** A conical symplectic plane must be Desarguesian or Kantor.

I have been able to prove (so far)

Theorem: Let (V, \mathcal{B}) be a symplectic space of dimension 4, and let Π be a symplectic plane with spread S of V . Assume the order of the plane is even and that it contains a regulus R . Then Π is covered by nets of k Desarguesian planes such that

I have been able to prove so far

Theorem: Let (V, \mathcal{B}) be a symplectic space of dimension 4 , and let Π be a symplectic plane with spread S of V . Assume the order of the plane is even and that it contains a regulus R . Then Π is covered by nets of k Desarguesian planes such that

$$* k \leq \frac{q}{2}.$$

I have been able to prove (so far)

Theorem: Let (V, \mathcal{B}) be a symplectic space of dimension 4, and let Π be a symplectic plane with spread S of V . Assume the order of the plane is even and that it contains a regulus R . Then Π is covered by nets of k Desarguesian planes such that

* $k \leq \frac{q}{2}$.

* All Desarguesian planes of the covering are symplectic with respect to \mathcal{B} .

I have been able to prove (so far)

Theorem: Let (V, \mathcal{B}) be a symplectic space of dimension 4, and let Π be a symplectic plane with spread S of V . Assume the order of the plane is even and that it contains a regulus R . Then Π is covered by nets of k Desarguesian planes such that

* $k \leq \frac{q}{2}$.

* All Desarguesian planes of the covering are symplectic with respect to \mathcal{B} .

* The planes of the covering pairwise share R (and nothing else).

I have been able to prove (so far)

Theorem: Let (V, \mathcal{B}) be a symplectic space of dimension 4, and let Π be a symplectic plane with spread S of V . Assume the order of the plane is even and that it contains a regulus R . Then Π is covered by nets of k Desarguesian planes such that

- * $k \leq \frac{q}{2}$.
- * All Desarguesian planes of the covering are symplectic with respect to \mathcal{B} .
- * The planes of the covering pairwise share R (and nothing else).
- * Any collineation of Π that stabilizes R is a collineation of every Desarguesian plane of the covering.

I have been able to prove (so far)

* If a collineation stabilizing R also stabilizes a line $l \in R$, then Π admits a perspectivity with axis l .

I have been able to prove (so far)

- * If a collineation stabilizing R also stabilizes a line $l \in R$, then Π admits a perspectivity with axis l .
- * If a collineation σ of Π does not stabilize R , then σ is a collineation of at least one of the Desarguesian planes covering Π , or the order of σ is less than k .

I have been able to prove (so far)

- * If a collineation stabilizing R also stabilizes a line $l \in R$, then Π admits a perspectivity with axis l .
- * If a collineation σ of Π does not stabilize R , then σ is a collineation of at least one of the Desarguesian planes covering Π , or the order of σ is less than k .

Remark: If there are no collineations stabilizing R , then the full collineation group of Π 's derived plane would be trivial... I don't like to believe these planes exist.

I have been able to prove (so far)

* If a collineation stabilizing R also stabilizes a line $l \in R$, then Π admits a perspectivity with axis l .

* If a collineation σ of Π does not stabilize R , then σ is a collineation of at least one of the Desarguesian planes covering Π , or the order of σ is less than k .

Remark: If there are no collineations stabilizing R , then the full collineation group of Π 's derived plane would be trivial... I don't like to believe these planes exist.

Remark: The covering described above defines a Desarguesian decomposition of Π .

More (even weaker) results

* Let Π be a symplectic plane of odd order that contains a derivable net R of size $q+1$. Then Π is covered by Kantor planes that pairwise share R , and nothing else. Moreover, all these Kantor planes are symplectic with respect to \mathcal{B} .

More (even weaker) results

- * Let Π be a symplectic plane of odd order that contains a derivable net R of size $q+1$. Then Π is covered by Kantor planes that pairwise share R , and nothing else. Moreover, all these Kantor planes are symplectic with respect to \mathcal{B} .
- * I expect to prove that for even order a similar result holds, but with Lüneburg planes instead of Kantor planes.

More (even weaker) results

- * Let Π be a symplectic plane of odd order that contains a derivable net R of size $q+1$. Then Π is covered by Kantor planes that pairwise share R , and nothing else. Moreover, all these Kantor planes are symplectic with respect to \mathcal{B} .
- * I expect to prove that for even order a similar result holds, but with Lüneburg planes instead of Kantor planes.
- * Note that all these results for even-order planes mean partitions of ovoids by using elliptic quadrics or, in case the previous paragraph turns out being true, Tits ovoids.

Closing remarks

* The work of Maschietti on symplectic planes of even order yields a characterization of these planes by the fact they admit a completely regular line-oval.

Closing remarks

- * The work of Maschietti on symplectic planes of even order yields a characterization of these planes by the fact they admit a completely regular line-oval.
- * So, it is clear that if a symplectic plane admits a completely regular oval, then the plane must be Desarguesian.

Closing remarks

- * The work of Maschietti on symplectic planes of even order yields a characterization of these planes by the fact they admit a completely regular line-oval.
- * So, it is clear that if a symplectic plane admits a completely regular oval, then the plane must be Desarguesian.
- * This is yet another source of ideas that I have studied but haven't been able to use successfully.

Closing remarks

- * The work of Maschietti on symplectic planes of even order yields a characterization of these planes by the fact they admit a completely regular line-oval.
- * So, it is clear that if a symplectic plane admits a completely regular oval, then the plane must be Desarguesian.
- * This is yet another source of ideas that I have studied but haven't been able to use successfully.
- * I guess that is material for another talk some other time.