On the number of $k$-gons in finite projective planes

Felix Lazebnik

Dept of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA  lazebnik@math.udel.edu

Keith E. Mellinger

Department of Mathematics, University of Mary Washington, Fredericksburg, VA, USA  kmelling@umw.edu

Oscar Vega

Department of Mathematics, California State University, Fresno, CA, USA  ovega@csufresno.edu

Received: …..; accepted: …..

Abstract. Let $\pi = \pi_q$ denote a finite projective plane of order $q$, and let $G = \text{Levi}(\pi)$ be the bipartite point-line incidence graph of $\pi$. For $k \geq 3$, let $c_{2k}(\pi)$ denote the number of cycles of length $2k$ in $G$. Are the numbers $c_{2k}(\pi)$ the same for all $\pi_q$? We prove that this is the case for $k = 3, 4, 5, 6$ by computing these numbers.
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1 Introduction

Our work concerns the number of certain substructures in finite projective planes. We will need the following definitions and notations. Any graph-theoretic notion not defined here may be found in Bollobás [2]. All of our graphs are finite, simple and undirected. If $G = (V, E) = (V(G), E(G))$ is a graph, then the order of $G$ is $|V|$, the number of vertices of $G$, and the size of $G$ is $|E|$, the number of edges in $G$. Each edge of $G$ is thought as a 2-subset of $V$. An edge $\{x, y\}$ will be denoted by $xy$ or $yx$. A vertex $v$ is incident with an edge $e$ if $v \in e$.

We say that a graph $G' = (V', E')$ is a subgraph of $G$, and denote it by $G' \subset G$, if and only if $V' \subset V$ and $E' \subset E$. For a vertex $v \in V$, $N(v) = N_G(v) = \{u \in G: uv \in E\}$ denotes the neighborhood of $v$, and $d(v) = d_G(v) = |N(v)|$ – the degree of $v$. If the degrees of all vertices of $G$ are equal $d$, $G$ is called $d$-regular. For a graph $F$, we say that $G$ is $F$-free if $G$ contains no subgraph isomorphic to $F$.

For $k \geq 3$, we define a $k$-cycle as the graph with a vertex-set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ and edge-set $\{x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \ldots, x_kx_1\}$. Any subgraph of $G$ isomorphic to a $k$-cycle is called a $k$-cycle in $G$. The number of $k$-cycles in $G$ is denoted by $c_k(G)$. The girth of a graph $G$ containing cycles, denoted by $g = g(G)$, is the length of a shortest cycle in $G$. Let $A$ and $B$ be two disjoint nonempty subsets of vertices of a graph $G$ whose union is $V(G)$, and let every edge from $E = E(G)$ have one endpoint in $A$ and another in $B$. Then $G$ is called bipartite and we denote it by $G(A, B; E)$. When $|A| = |B| = n$, we refer to $G$ as an $n$ by $n$ bipartite graph.

All notions of incidence geometry not defined here may be found in [8]. A partial plane $\pi = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}; I)$ is an incidence structure with a set of points $\mathcal{P}$, a set of lines $\mathcal{L}$, and a symmetric binary relation of incidence $I \subseteq (\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}) \cup (\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{P})$ such that any two distinct points are on at most one line, and every line contains at least two points. The definition implies that any two lines share at most one point. We will often identify lines with the sets of points on them. We say that a partial plane is a subplane of $\pi$, denoted $\pi' \subset \pi$, if and only if $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}' \subset \mathcal{L},$ and $I' \subset I$. If there is a line containing two distinct points $X$ and $Y$, we denote it by $XY$ or $YX$. For $k \geq 3$, we define a $k$-gon as a partial plane with $k$ distinct points $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k\}$, with $k$ distinct lines $\{P_1P_2, P_2P_3, \ldots, P_{k-1}P_k, P_kP_1\}$, and with point and line being incident if and only if the point is on the line. A subplane of $\pi$ isomorphic to a $k$-gon is called a $k$-gon in $\pi$. Let $c_k(\pi)$ denote the number of $k$-gons in $\pi$. The Levi graph of a partial plane $\pi$ is its point-line bipartite incidence graph $Levi(\pi) = Levi(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}; E)$, where $Pl \in E$ if and only if point $P$ is on line $l$. The Levi graph of any partial plane is 4-cycle-free. Clearly, there exists a bijection between the set of all $k$-gons in $\pi$ and the set of $2k$-cycles in $Levi(\pi)$. Hence, $c_k(\pi) = c_{2k}(Levi(\pi))$.

A projective plane of order $q \geq 2$, denoted $\pi_q$, is a partial plane with every point on
exactly $q + 1$ lines, every line containing exactly $q + 1$ points, and having four points such that no three of them are collinear. It is easy to argue that $\pi_q$ contains $q^2 + q + 1$ points and $q^2 + q + 1$ lines. Let $n_q = q^2 + q + 1$. It is easy to show that an equivalent definition of $\pi_q$ in terms of bipartite graphs, is a partial plane whose Levi graph is a $(q + 1)$-regular graph of girth 6 and diameter 3. Projective planes $\pi_q$ are known to exist only when the order is a prime power $q$. If $q \geq 9$ is a prime power but not a prime, there are always non-isomorphic planes of order $q$, and their number grows fast with $q$.

Let $H$ and $F$ be two graphs, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of $F$-free graphs. For every $G \in \mathcal{F}$, one can count the number of isomorphic copies of a graph $H$ in $G$, and then try to maximize (or minimize) this number over all graphs in $\mathcal{F}$. Such optimization problems have been investigated at length by numerous researchers. The Turán-type problems are the most familiar instances of these problems, where $H = K_2$, and they are discussed in detail in the book by Bollobás [1], and surveys Füredi [15], Simonovits [22], Bondy [4]. For problems of other types, see, e.g., Fisher [14], Győri, Pach and Simonovits [17], Fiorini and Lazebnik [12, 13], De Winter, Lazebnik and Verstraëte [9]. To mention another example, Erdős [11] conjectured that the maximum number of cycles of length five in an $n$-vertex triangle-free graph is achieved by the blowup of a pentagon (see Győri [16], Bollobás and Győri [3] for details).

Several of these problems lead to Levi($\pi_q$)'s as extremal objects. For every $q \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{F}_q$ be the family of all 4-cycle free $n_q$ by $n_q$ bipartite graphs. If $\pi_q$ exists, then Levi($\pi_q$) $\in \mathcal{F}_q$. Then every Levi($\pi_q$) has the greatest number of edges among all graphs in $\mathcal{F}$, as was shown by Reiman [21] (or in [1]). Also every Levi($\pi_q$) has the greatest number of 6-cycles among all graphs in $\mathcal{F}$, and, if $q \geq 157$ – the greatest number of 8-cycles (see [13] and [9], respectively). In all these results, every extremal graph must be Levi($\pi_q$) for every (existing) $\pi_q$. Using the geometric terminology, the latter two results give extremal characterizations of projective planes as the partial planes on $n_q$ points with a maximum number of triangles (3-gons), or with the maximum number of quadrilaterals (4-gons). This implies, in particular, that the numbers $c_3(\pi_q)$ and $c_4(\pi_q)$ are the same for all projective planes of order $q$.

This paper was motivated by the following questions.

**Q1:** Assuming $q$ is large compared to $k$, which graphs from $\mathcal{F}_q$ contain the greatest number of $2k$-cycles, or equivalently, which partial planes on $n_q$ points have the greatest number of $k$-gons?

**Q2:** Do all projective planes of order $q$ contain the same number of $2k$-cycles?

Unfortunately, we do not have any new results concerning Q1. It was conjectured in [9], that the classical (Desarguesian) projective plane $PG(2,q)$, or its Levi graph, is the only extremal object. We believe that the conjecture is true.

With respect to Q2, we found closed form expressions for the numbers of pentagons (5-gons) and hexagons (6-gons) in every $\pi_q$ using only synthetic techniques. Hence, these numbers are the same for all $\pi_q$. The results are presented in the following theorem, with those for the numbers of triangles and quadrilaterals included for completeness.

**Theorem 1.** Let $q$ be a prime power, and let $n_q = q^2 + q + 1$. Then for every projective plane $\pi_q$ of order $q \geq 2$ the following holds.
The trace of a square matrix is equal to the sum of all its eigenvalues. This implies that the number of closed walks of length \( k \) in \( \pi_q \) is the same for all \( \pi_q \). The details can be found in [2] and Biggs [6].

In the next sections we provide proofs of our main results. Section 2 gives the synthetic proof of Theorem 1, and Section 3 gives some general results about embedded cycles. The last section gives some final thoughts for future work.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

The number of \( n \)-cycles in a projective plane of order \( q \) can be counted using elementary techniques and using only synthetic properties. Recall that \( n_q = q^2 + q + 1 \) is the number of points in a projective plane \( \pi = \pi_q \). We wish to count the number of \( k \)-gons in \( \pi \) for small values of \( k \). This can be achieved as follows. We count the number of (ordered) \( k \)-tuples of points, say \([A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k]\), where each \( k \)-tuple represents the points around a \( k \)-gon, where “around” means that \( A_1 A_2, A_2 A_3, \ldots, A_{k-1} A_k, A_k A_1 \) are the lines of the \( k \)-gon. This puts some collinearity restrictions on the points of the \( k \)-tuple. For instance, any three consecutive point around a \( k \)-gon are necessarily non-collinear.

For completeness, we begin with the number of 3-gons and 4-gons in a projective plane \( \pi_q \), and we will see that these numbers are easy to count. For 5-gons and 6-gons, we will frequently consider when points lie (or do not lie) on one of three non-concurrent lines, a triangle. We say that a point \( X \) is on \( \triangle ABC \), if points \( A, B, C \) are not collinear, and \( X \) is a point on a line \( AB \), or \( BC \), or \( CA \).

**Lemma 2.** 1. For every prime power \( q \), and every projective plane \( \pi_q \) of order \( q \),

\[
(c_3(\pi_q) = \frac{1}{6} q^2 n_q(n_q - 1).
\]
Proof. Any triangle of $\pi$ is determined by 3 non-collinear points. The number of ways to choose 3 points of $\pi$ is $\binom{n_q}{3}$ and the number of ways to choose three collinear points of $\pi$ is $n_q(q+1)$. Hence, the total number of triangles in $\pi$ is $\binom{n_q}{3} - n_q(q+1) = \frac{1}{6}q^2n_q(n_q-1)$. \[QED\]

**Lemma 2.** For every prime power $q$, and every projective plane $\pi_q$ of order $q$, $c_4(\pi_q) = \frac{1}{8}q^2n_q(n_q-1)(q-1)^2$.

Proof. We count the number of ways to choose an ordered 4-tuple $[A, B, C, D]$ and then divide by eight for automorphisms of the 4-gon. The first three points can be chosen easily. There are $n_q$ choices for $A$, $n_q-1$ choices for $B$, and $n_q-(q+1)$ choices for $C$ since $C$ cannot lie on the line $AB$. The last point, $D$, cannot be collinear with $AB$, $AC$ or $BC$. The number of points not on $\triangle ABC$ is $n_q-3q$. Hence, there are $n_q(n_q-1)(n_q-q-1)(n_q-3q)$ such ordered 4-tuples. Simplifying and dividing by eight gives us the desired formula. \[QED\]

**Lemma 2.** For every prime power $q$, and every projective plane $\pi_q$ of order $q$, $c_5(\pi_q) = \frac{1}{10}n_q(n_q-1)(n_q-q)(q^2-q)^2$.

Proof. We count pentagons by first counting the number of 5-tuples $[A, B, C, D, E]$ of points of $\pi$ satisfying the conditions. We do this count in five steps. First note that there are $n_q$ choices for $A$, $n_q-1$ choices for $B$ (since $B$ is distinct from $A$), and $n_q-(q+1)$ choices for $C$ (since $C$ is not on the line $AB$). We now choose $D$ and $E$ in two steps.

(1) **Choose $D$.** Clearly $D$ cannot be on the line $BC$. However, $D$ can be on either of the lines $AB$ or $AC$. We have two disjoint cases.

a. **The point $D$ is on $\triangle ABC$.** In this case, $D$ can be any one of the points on $AB$ or $AC$, except for $A$, $B$ or $C$. There are $2(q-1)$ such points.

b. **The point $D$ is not on $\triangle ABC$.** There are $q+1$ points on $AB$, $q$ more points on $BC$ (not counting $B$ which was already eliminated) and $q-1$ more points on $BC$ (not counting $B$ or $C$), for a total of $3q$ points on the $\triangle ABC$. Hence, there are $n_q-3q$ choices for $D$.

(2) **Choose $E$.** We have 2 cases depending on how $D$ was chosen above.

a. **Suppose $D$ is on $\triangle ABC$.** Then, by the non-collinearity conditions, $E$ cannot lie on $AB$, $AD$ or $CD$. But since $D$ is on the triangle, either $AD = AB$ or $AD = CD$, as pictured.

Hence, $E$ can be any point in $\pi$ except for those lying on two lines. There are $2q+1$ points covered by these lines. Hence, there are $n_q-2q-1$ choices for $E$. 

Hence, the total number of triangles in $\pi$ is $\binom{n_q}{3} - n_q(q+1) = \frac{1}{6}q^2n_q(n_q-1)$.
b. **Suppose** $D$ **is not on** $\triangle ABC$. In this case, $E$ again cannot be on either $AB$, $AC$ or $AD$. But these three lines are distinct and cover $3q$ points. Hence, there are $n_q - 3q$ choices for $E$.

In summary, we have a total of

$$n_q(n_q - 1)(n_q - q - 1) \left[ (n_q - 3q)(n_q - 3q) + 2(q - 1)(n_q - 2q - 1) \right]$$

different ordered 5-tuples. Substituting for $n_q$, dividing by 10, and simplifying gives us the desired formula.

Counting the number of 6-gons in $\pi_q$ is naturally a more involved problem. The techniques used above can adapt to this more complicated situation, but care must be taken to cover all possible cases. It would be nice to find a more elegant technique for performing this count. However, we emphasize that our method is completely synthetic and therefore does not rely on anything more than the order of the plane.

**Lemma 2.** 4. For every prime power $q$, and every projective plane $\pi_q$ of order $q$, $c_6(\pi_q) = \frac{1}{12} n_q(n_q - 1)(q^2 - q)^2(q + 2)(q^3 - 2q^2 - q + 3)$.

**Proof.** Again, we count ordered 6-tuples of points $[A, B, C, D, E, F]$ where any three consecutive points are non-collinear and then divide by 12, the number of automorphisms any given tuple. In addition, we need the lines of the 6-gon to be distinct. The non-collinearity condition for consecutive points around the $k$-gon take care of this for 5-gons. However, for 6-gons, we must require that the lines $AB$ and $DE$ are distinct, or equivalently, the points $A, B, D$ and $E$ are non-collinear. Similarly, we require $\{B, C, E, F\}$ and $\{C, D, F, A\}$ to be sets of non-collinear points. We will see that this creates many cases that need to be considered separately.

The first three points of our 6-tuple can be chosen among all ordered pairs of non-collinear points. Hence, we have $n_q$ choices for $A$, $n_q - 1$ choices for $B$, and $n_q - (q + 1)$ choices for $C$. To continue, we consider three different cases. Either $D$ is on $AB$, $D$ is on $AC$, or $D$ is not on $\triangle ABC$.

1. **$D$ is on $AB$**

In this case, there are $q - 1$ choices for $D$. To count the number of choices for $E$ and $F$, we again break it into cases. Note that $E$ cannot lie on $AB$ (as this would force $A$, $B$, $E$, and $F$ to be collinear), and $F$ cannot lie on $AB$. Furthermore, $E$ and $F$ cannot both lie $BC$ or $AB$ because of the conditions. Hence, we have the following cases based on the locations of $E$ and $F$.

2. **$E$ is on $AC$ and $F$ is on $BC$.** Here we have $(q - 1)$ choices for $E$ since $E$ is any point on $AC$ except for $A$ or $C$. The point $F$ is now any point on $BC$ except for $B$, $C$ or the point of intersection between $BC$ and $DE$ (since $D$, $E$ and $F$ cannot be collinear). Hence, there are $q - 2$ choices for $F$. So we have $(q - 1)(q - 2)$ choices for $E$ and $F$ in this case.
b. **E is on BC and F is on AC.** This case is very similar to case 1 above and gives the same final count of \((q - 1)(q - 2)\).

c. **E is on BC and F is not on \(\triangle ABC\).** In this case, we again have \((q - 1)\) choices for \(E\). The point \(F\) now cannot lie on either the triangle \(\triangle ABC\) by the case assumption, nor on either of the lines \(AE\) or \(DE\) (since no three consecutive points of the 6-gon are collinear).

d. **E is on AC and F is not on \(\triangle ABC\).** Here we have \((q - 1)\) choices for \(E\). The last point \(F\) is not on the triangle \(\triangle ABC\) and is also not on the line \(DE\). The line \(DE\) meets the triangle in 3 points. Hence, we have \(n_q - 3q\) choices for \(E\) and \(n_q - 3q - (q - 1) - (q - 2)\) choices for \(F\) giving us a total of \((q - 1)(q^2 - 3q + 3)\) choices for \(E\) and \(F\) in this case.

e. **F is on AC and E is not on \(\triangle ABC\).** This case is the same as case (c) above and gives us the same count of \((q - 1)(q^2 - 4q + 4)\) choices for \(E\) and \(F\).

f. **F is on BC and E is not on \(\triangle ABC\).** For this case, we count the number of choices for \(F\) first. If \(F\) is on \(BC\), there are \(q - 1\) choices for \(F\). Now, the point \(E\) cannot be on the triangle \(\triangle ABC\), but also cannot be on the lines \(AF\), \(CD\) or \(DF\). The picture is the same as in case (c) above except that \(E\) and \(F\) are interchanged. There are \(q - 1\) points on \(AF\) off \(\triangle ABC\), and additional \(q - 2\) points on \(DF\) off \(\triangle ABC\) (\(D, F\), and the intersection with \(AC\)) and \(q - 2\) points on \(CD\) off \(\triangle ABC\) (\(C, D\), and the intersection with \(BC\)) for a total of \(n_q - 3q - (q - 1) - (q - 2) - (q - 2) = q^2 - 5q + 6\) choices for \(F\). Hence, we have \((q - 1)(q^2 - 5q + 6)\) choices for \(E\) and \(F\) in this case.
g. **Neither E nor F is on \( \triangle ABC \).** Our final case is more difficult to count. First note that \( E \) can be any point off \( \triangle ABC \) and not on the line \( CD \). This gives us \( n_q - 3q - (q - 1) \) choices for \( E \), as pictured on the left. Now, the point \( F \) is not on the triangle \( \triangle ABC \), and is also not on any of the lines \( AE \) or \( DE \), as pictured on the right.

![Diagram](image)

There are \( q - 2 \) points of \( DE \) not intersecting lines of \( \triangle ABC \) which are unavailable. Then there are \( q - 2 \) additional points of \( AE \) not available. This gives us a total of \( n_q - 3q - (q - 2) \) choices for \( E \). Hence, in this case, we have \((n_q - 3q - (q - 1))(n_q - 3q - (q - 2) - (q - 2)) = (q^2 - 3q + 2)(q^2 - 4q + 5)\) choices for \( E \) and \( F \).

As the above 7 cases are exhaustive, their sum tells us the number of ordered 6-tuples forming a hexagon of \( \pi \) if we assume that the point \( D \) lies on the line \( AB \). Factoring out the common factor of \( q - 1 \) gives us a sum of

\[(q - 1)(q^3 - 2q^2 - q + 3).\]

(2) **\( D \) is on \( AC \)**

As in case one, there are \( q - 1 \) choices for \( D \) in this case. As before, we can break into cases very similar to those above. The roles of \( E \) and \( F \) switch in some cases, but the techniques, and the final answer, are all the same. Hence, the total number of ways to count 6-tuples forming a hexagon with the condition that point \( D \) lies on \( AC \) is

\[(q - 1)(q^3 - 2q^2 - q + 3).\]

(3) **\( D \) is not on \( \triangle ABC \)**

In this final case, \( D \) can be any point off the triangle \( \triangle ABC \). The lines \( AB \) and \( CD \) now intersect in a new point, say \( P \), and the points \( B, C \) and \( P \) form a triangle.

![Diagram](image)
We again have several cases. First we note some natural conditions on the location of E and F. The point E cannot be on CD and the point F cannot be on AB. Also, E and F cannot both lie on BC. This gives us the following cases.

a. **E is on AB and F is on BC.** We have \(q - 2\) choices for E since E is a point on AB, except for A, B and P. Similarly, there are \(q - 2\) choices for F. Hence, we have \((q - 2)(q - 2)\) choices for E and F in this case.

b. **E is on AB and F is on CD.** This case can be counted just as in case (a) and gives us \((q - 2)(q - 2)\) choices for E and F.

c. **E is on BC and F is on CD.** Here we choose F first to obtain the same count of \((q - 2)(q - 2)\) choices for E and F.

d. **E is on AB and F is not on AB, BC or CD.** As before, there are \(q - 2\) choices for E in this case. The point F cannot be on \(\triangle BCP\) which covers \(n - q\) points. But F must also not lie on the line DE which intersects our triangle in \(q - 2\) points, D, E, and the intersection with BC, as pictured.

Hence, we have \(n - q - (q - 2)\) choices for F. This gives us a total of \((q - 2)(q^2 - 3q + 3)\) choices for E and F.

e. **E is on BC and F is not on AB, BC or CD.** Things get trickier at this point. The problem arises because E could possibly lie on the line AD, as pictured.

If E is the intersection point of BC and AD, then F can be any point off of the four lines AB, BC, CD, and AD. There are \(n - q - 3q - (q - 2)\) such points. On the other hand, if E is not on the line AD, then there are \(q - 2\) choices for E. The point F is now any point off \(\triangle BCP\) and also off the lines AE and DE, both of which meet \(\triangle BCP\) in three distinct points. Hence, the number of choices for F is \(n - q - 3q - (q - 2) - (q - 2)\). In summary, the number of choices for E and F in this case is \((q^2 - 3q + 3) + (q - 2)(q^2 - 4q + 5) = q^3 - 5q^2 + 10q - 7\).

f. **F is on BC and E is not on AB, BC or CD.** This case is very much the same as the previous case, with the roles of E and F reversed. We count F first and again, the total number of choices for E and F is \(q^3 - 5q^2 + 10q - 7\).
g. **F is on CD and E is not on AB, BC or CD.** In this case, we count F first. There are \( q - 2 \) choices for F since F is on CD but is not any of the points B, C or P. Now, E is off the lines AB, BC and CD by the case assumption and is also off the line AF. Hence, there are \( n_{q} - 3q - (q - 2) = q^2 - 3q + 3 \) choices for F. Therefore, the total number of choices for E and F in this case is \((q-2)(q^2-3q+3)\).

h. **E and F are both not on AB, BC or CD.** Naturally, this is the most difficult case to count. In order to facilitate the counting, we break this cases into disjoint subcases based on the location of the point E. In each case, we count the number of choices for E and F. Let Q be the intersection of the lines AC and BD.

i. **Suppose E = Q.** Then, F is not on \( \triangle BCP \) and is also not on the lines AC and BD. The line AC intersects \( \triangle BCP \) in two points, and BD intersects \( \triangle BCP \) in two points. Both of these lines contain E which need only be thrown out once. Hence, there are \( n_{q} - 3q - (q - 1) - (q - 2) \) choices for F. Hence, the total number of choices for E and F is \( q^2 - 4q + 4 \).

ii. **Suppose E is on AC, but not equal to Q.** Then, there are \( q - 2 \) choices for E and \( n_{q} - 3q - (q - 1) - (q - 3) \) choices for F, throwing out the additional points on lines AC and ED. This gives us \((q-2)(q^2-4q+5)\) choices for E and F in this case.

iii. **Suppose E is on BD, but not equal to Q.** This case parallels the previous case and again gives us \((q-2)(q^2-4q+5)\) choices for E and F.

iv. **Suppose E is on AD.** Then there are \( q - 2 \) choices for E, throwing out the intersections of AD with \( \triangle BCP \). The point F cannot be on \( \triangle BCP \) or the line AD. Hence, there are \( n_{q} - 3q - (q - 2) \) choices for F. This gives us \((q-2)(q^2-3q+3)\) choices for E and F in this case.

v. **Finally, suppose that E is not on \( \triangle BCP \) or either of the lines AC or BD.** There are then \( n_{q} - 3q - (q - 2) - (q - 1) - (q - 2) \) choices for E by starting with the points off \( \triangle BCP \) and throwing out the additional points on AD, AC and BD, respectively. Then, we have \( n_{q} - 3q - (q - 2) - (q - 3) \) choices for F by starting with the points off \( \triangle BCP \) and throwing out the additional points on AE and DE. Hence, we have a total of \((q^2 - 5q + 6)(q^2 - 4q + 6)\) choices for E and F in this case.

These five cases sum to \((q - 2)(q^3 - 4q^2 + 8q - 7)\).

We now add together the results of cases (a) - (h). Their sum is \( q^4 - 3q^3 - q^2 + 3q \). For each of these cases, there are \( n_{q} - 3q \) choices for D. Hence, the number of ways to
select \(D, E\) and \(F\) when \(D\) is off \(\triangle ABC\) is

\[(q - 1)^2(q^4 - 2q^3 - 2q^2 + 2q + 3)\].

We are now ready to assemble the final count. In cases 1 and 2 when \(D\) lies on a line of the \(\triangle ABC\), there are \(q - 1\) choices for \(D\) and a total of \(2(q^3 - 2q^2 - q + 3)\) choices for \(E\) and \(F\). Hence, the total number of choices for \(D, E\) and \(F\) is

\[2(q - 1)^2(q^3 - 2q^2 - q + 3) + (q - 1)^2(q^4 - 2q^3 - q^2 + 3q)\]

or

\[(q - 1)^2(q^4 - 5q^2 + q + 6)\].

which factors as

\[(q - 1)^2(q + 2)(q^3 - 2q^2 - q + 3)\].

Now recall that there are \(n_q\) choices for \(A\), \(n_q - 1\) choices for \(B\), and \(n_q - (q + 1)\) choices for \(C\). Putting all of these cases together and dividing by 12 gives

\[
\frac{1}{12}(q^2 + q + 1)(q^2 + q)q^2(q - 1)^2(q + 2)(q^3 - 2q^2 - q + 3).
\]
Moreover, the best known constant $c(k) = 8(k-1)$ was provided by Verstraëte [23]. For the Levi graphs of $\pi_q$, $n = 2n_q$, and $e = (q+1)n_q > (1/(2\sqrt{2}))n_q^{1+1/2}$. Clearly, for every fixed $k \geq 3$, there exists $q_0 = q_0(k)$ such that for all $q > q_0$, $e(\text{Levi}(\pi_q)) > 8(k-1)n_q^{1+1/k}$. This proves that the Levi graphs of $\pi_q$, for all $q > q_0(k)$ always contains a $2k$-cycle, since, for $k \geq 3$, $(1/(2\sqrt{2}))n_q^{1+1/2} > 8(k-1)n_q^{1+1/k}$. Clearly $q_0(k)$ is easy to estimate. It is of magnitude $\sqrt{k}$. Therefore the existence of $2k$-cycles in the Levi graphs of $\pi_q$ is not clear only for small $q$, $q < q_0(k)$. We believe that for this range they also exist.

We turn our attention now to some specific examples, applications of our formulae, and some more general results for large classes of planes.

**Example 3.1.** Every Desarguesian projective plane $\pi$ admits a function $\sigma$ that permutes all its lines, and all its points, in a cycle of length $n_q$. The group generated by $\sigma$ is called a Singer group of $\pi$. We will now use this cyclic group to create a maximal cycle in $\pi$; we start with any line $l_1$ of $\pi$ and we consider $l_2 = \sigma(l_1)$, the intersection of these two lines yields a point $p_1$, similarly we create $p_2 = l_2 \cap l_1$, and so on until we get $p_n_q = l_n_q \cap l_1$. Note that the set $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{n_q}\}$ is actually the orbit of $p_1$ under the Singer group, which has exactly $n_q$ elements. Hence, we obtain a $C_{n_q}$ with vertices all points of $\pi$ and lines all lines of $\pi$.

**Example 3.2.** Applying the formulas of Section 2 to the Fano plane (or $PG(2,2)$), the number of 3-gons is exactly 28, the number of 4-gons is 21, the number of 5-gons is 84, and the number of 6-gons is 56. Finally, because of Example 3.1 there is at least one 7-gon in $PG(2,2)$. In fact, a quick *Magma* [7] computation shows that there are exactly 24 7-gons in $PG(2,2)$. No other $k$-gons can be embedded in this plane.

We note that in the previous example whenever we counted the number of embedding of a $k$-gon, $k \leq 6$, we got a multiple of $7 = 2^2 + 2 + 1$. This observation inspires the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $c_k$ be a $k$-gon embedded in a projective (or affine) plane $\pi$ of order $q$ that admits a cyclic collineation group $H$ of order $m$ acting freely on the points of $\pi$. Then, $m \mid c_k(\pi)$ whenever $\gcd(k, m) = 1$.

**Proof.** Clearly $H$ acts on the set of embeddings of $c_k$ in $\pi$. If any element of $H$ fixed the set of vertices of an embedding of $c_k$, then $H$ acting freely on points of $\pi$ would imply that $m$ and $k$ have a common factor. Hence, $H$ acts freely on the embeddings of $c_k$, the result follows.

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume that a $k$-gon is embedded in an (affine) translation plane $\alpha$ of order $q^2$, and that $q$ is a power of a prime $p$ with $p \parallel k$, then $q^2 \mid c_k(\alpha)$.

**Remark 3.5.** If a projective plane of order $q$ admits a Singer cycle and $c_k$ is a $k$-gon with $\gcd(k, n_q) = d$, then the Singer cycle containing a subgroup of order $n_q/d$ and $\gcd(k, n_q/d) = 1$ forces that $n_q/d$ divides $c_k(\pi)$. This implies that, for instance, if $\pi$ were a Desarguesian plane of order $q$ such that $\gcd(k, n_q) = 1$ then $n_q \mid c_k(\pi)$.

Now we look at how small the order of a plane could be to allow the embedding of a $k$-cycle.

**Lemma 3.6.** If a projective plane $\Pi$ contains a $k$-arc then there is a $k$-cycle embedded in $\Pi$ with vertices the points of the $k$-arc.

**Corollary 3.7.** Any $k$-cycle can be embedded in some projective plane.
Proof. It is known that the maximal arcs in a projective plane of order $q$ have size $q + 1$ (if $q$ is odd) or $q + 2$ (if $q$ is even). Since a sub-arc of an arc is also an arc, then a $k$-cycle can be embedded in any projective plane of order $q$, and $q$ is less than $k - 1$ if $q$ is odd, or less than $k - 2$ if $q$ is even.

4 Concluding remarks

We would like to point out that there was some computational investigation of the number of 7-gons in non-isomorphic planes. It is well-known that there are four projective planes of order 9, up to isomorphism: the Desarguesian plane, the Hall plane, the dual of the Hall plane, and the Hughes plane. Moreover, 9 is the smallest order in which we find non-Desarguesian planes. The Hughes plane has much less symmetry than the Desarguesian plane and, as such, it serves as a good place to search for structural differences from $PG(2, 9)$. It was discovered through some involved computation that the number of 7-gons in the Hughes plane of order 9 is the same as the number of 7-gons in the Desarguesian plane $PG(2, 9)$. This was not completely surprising to us.

It would be most interesting to know whether the number of $k$-gons embedded in a projective plane is a function of the order only. Perhaps the number of cycles of length 10 would be different for non-isomorphic planes since the famous Desargues’s configuration contains exactly this number of points. We note that counting the number of 10-gons in small order planes is computationally infeasible at the present time. However the authors have not reached a consensus whether the answer to question Q2 is positive.

There are other questions that we are led to by our present discussion. One easy observation is that if a $k$-gon $c_k$ is embedded in a projective plane $\pi$ then $c_k$ can also be embedded in any other plane that is isomorphic to $\pi$ or that has (an isomorphic copy of) $\pi$ as a subplane. Since $\pi \cong \Pi$ implies $c_k(\pi) = c_k(\Pi)$, we can choose the presentation we please for the planes to study. Also, note that a collineation of $\pi$ might create new embeddings of $c_k$ in $\pi$. This yields the interesting issue of looking at the orbits under the collineation group of $\pi$ of the set of embeddings of a $k$-gon in $\pi$.

It seems obvious that the close relation between affine and projective planes should yield a relation between the number of $k$-gons embedded in an affine plane $\alpha$ and the number of $k$-gons in a projective extension of $\alpha$. So far that is not known.

Q3: Is it true that two affine planes have the same number of $k$-gons if and only if so do their projective extensions?

These results are of great interest when one wants to study embeddings of graphs in translation planes, as most of the tools used in the study of translation planes are ‘affine’.

Finally, the idea of looking at cycles embedded in projective planes, was motivated by the question of a possibility of embedding of a finite partial plane in a finite projective plane. It seems that in print the question was posed independently by Erdős [10] and Welsh [24]. On the other hand, it was shown by Hall [18] that any finite partial plane can be embedded in an infinite projective plane. For some partial results on this problem, see a monograph by Metsch [19], and a recent paper by Moorhouse and Williford [20].
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